

EXHIBIT 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York

In re Application of Benjamin Steinmetz

Plaintiff

v.

Defendant

Civil Action No.

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Vale Americas Inc.

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

☒ **Testimony:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment:

The custodian of records for the documents requested in Schedule A attached hereto.

Place: Kobre & Kim LLP 800 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022	Date and Time: To be determined
--	------------------------------------

The deposition will be recorded by this method: _____

☒ **Production:** You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: See Schedule A hereto.

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: _____

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk_____
Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) Benjamin Steinmetz

, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Josef M. Klazen, Kobre & Kim LLP, 800 Third Ave., New York, NY 10022, jef.klazen@kobrekim.com, (212) 488-1200

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
on *(date)* _____.

☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named individual as follows: _____

_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____
_____.

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also
tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)**(c) Place of Compliance.**

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) *Appearance Not Required.* A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) *Objections.* A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) *When Required.* On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) *When Permitted.* To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) *Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.* In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) *Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.* If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) *Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.* The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) *Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.* The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) *Information Produced.* If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

SCHEDULE A TO SUBPOENA

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to the attached Subpoena and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30 and 45, Vale Americas Inc. is required to produce and permit inspection of the following documents and to appear at the time, date, and place set forth in the attached Subpoena to testify about the following matters:

I. DEFINITIONS

1. “All” or “any” means each and every.
2. “And” as well as “or” shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of these discovery requests any information that might otherwise be construed to be outside their scope.
3. “Vale” means all Vale entities and affiliated entities, including but not limited to Vale S.A. and Vale Americas Inc.
4. “BSGR” means BSG Resources Limited.
5. “Rio Tinto” means all Rio Tinto entities and affiliated entities, including but not limited to Rio Tinto plc and Rio Tinto Limited.
6. “BHP” means all BHP entities and affiliated entities, including but not limited to BHP Group Limited and BHP Group plc;
7. “Simandou” means the Simandou Mountain range in the southeastern region of Guinea.
8. “Simandou Blocks 1 and/or 2” means the northern half of the Simandou Mountain range in the Nzerekoré region of southeastern Guinea which Rio Tinto was ordered to relinquish in December 2008.

9. “Blocks 1 and/or 2 Permit” means the prospecting permit granted to BSGR by the Republic of Guinea over Simandou Blocks 1 and 2, granted on December 9, 2008 giving rise to (i) an exclusive right to prospect the region for iron ore and; (ii) a right to develop and operate the area (by way of operating permit or mining concession) upon completion of a feasibility study.

10. “Mining Rights” means mining rights in the Simandou Mountain range.

11. “Zogota Mining Concession” means the iron ore mining concession granted by the Republic of Guinea to BSGR in March 19, 2010, covering an area of 1,024 kilometers near the village of Zogota, in Guinea.

12. “Rio Tinto’s Concession” means the iron ore mining concession granted by the Republic of Guinea to Rio Tinto on March 30, 2006 covering Blocks 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Simandou.

13. “VBG” means Vale BSGR (Guinea) Limited, Vale S.A. and BSG Resources Limited’s joint venture in Guinea.

14. “Concerning” means relating to, referring to, describing, evidencing or constituting.

15. “Document” or “documents” means any and all handwritten, typewritten, printed or otherwise recorded matter of whatever character, or graphic material (however produced or reproduced), tapes or other voice recordings, and all other tangible objects including but not limited to booklets, procedures, pamphlets, circulars, notices, periodicals, papers, contracts, agreements, checks, bills, invoices, photographs, agendas, receipts, minutes, memoranda, written instructions, letters, expense accounts, messages, appraisals, analyses, reports, plans, evaluations, financial calculations and representations, diary entries, time sheets, calendars, telephone logs, visitor logs, telephone message slips, correspondence, communications, e-mails, telegrams, press releases, advertisements, notes, handwritten notes, transcripts, surveys, working papers, drawings,

schedules, tabulations and projections, studies, graphs, charts, films, videotapes, microfiche, printouts, all other data whether recorded by electronic or any other means, including drafts of any of the foregoing and any other data in your possession, custody, or control and including all items that are in storage anywhere, of any source of authorship.

The definition of “document” also includes information stored in or retrievable from equipment or media that includes, but is not limited to, desktop PCs or workstations, PCs, workstations, minicomputers, or mainframes used as file servers, application servers, or electronic mail servers, other minicomputers and mainframes, laptop, notebook and other portable computers, whether assigned to individuals or in pools available for shared use, home computers, backup disks and tapes, archival disks and tapes, and other forms of off-line storage, whether stored on-site with the computers used to generate them or off-site in another computer facility or by a third party, electronic mail messages, even if available only on backup or archive disks or tapes. If a document was prepared in several copies or if additional copies were thereafter made, and if any such copies were not identical or are no longer identical by reason of subsequent notation or modification of any kind whatsoever, including, without limitation, notations on the front or back of any of the pages thereof, then each such non-identical copy is a separate document and must be produced.

16. The word “person” or “persons” means all individuals and entities including, but not limited to, natural persons, trusts and estates, legal or investment advisors, auditors, directors, employees, trustees, fiduciaries, representatives, delegates, consultants, attorneys, agents, functionaries, or otherwise affiliated individuals, whether serving full-time or part-time, whether paid or unpaid, whether regular or alternate, including any individuals having possession, custody, or control of documents or information relating to the present application.

17. The terms “You” and “Your” mean Vale Americas Inc., including any and all present and former employees, officers, directors, agents, representatives, attorneys, assignees, consultants, or successors of Vale Americas Inc., any U.S.-based affiliate over which You exercise custody or control of its documents, and any other person acting or purporting to act on Vale Americas Inc.’s behalf.

II. INSTRUCTIONS

1. The present tense shall be construed to include the past tense and the past tense shall be construed to include the present tense as necessary to bring within the scope of these requests any documents or information that might otherwise be construed to be outside their scope.

2. The singular shall be construed to include the plural and the plural shall be construed to include the singular as necessary to bring within the scope of these requests any documents or information that might otherwise be construed to be outside their scope.

3. If you are unable to respond fully to a request, respond to the fullest extent possible and specify the reasons for your inability to respond in full.

4. If you withhold any documents on grounds of a claim of attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, or any other privilege or protection, identify each such document and, with respect to each such document, state the specific basis for the claim of privilege or protection and provide the following information:

- (a) The subject matter of the document;
- (b) The title, heading, or caption of the document, if any;
- (c) The identifying number, letter, or combination thereof, if any, and the significance or meaning of such number, letter, or combination thereof;

- (d) The date appearing on the document or, if no date appears thereon, the date or approximate date on which the document was prepared;
- (e) The general nature or description of the document (*i.e.*, whether it is a letter, memorandum, minutes of a meeting, etc.) and the number of pages in the document;
- (f) The identity of the person who signed the document and, if it was not signed, the identity of each person who prepared it;
- (g) The identity of each person to whom the document was addressed and the identity of each person to whom a copy or blind copy thereof was sent; and
- (h) The identity of each person who has custody of any copy or version of the document.

5. “Identify” or provide the “identity,” with respect to a document, means to state:

- (a) The date and nature of the document (*i.e.*, whether it is a letter, memorandum, minutes of a meeting, etc.);
- (b) The title, subject, or heading of the document;
- (c) The identity of each author, addressee(s), copy addressee(s), blind copy addressee(s), and every natural person or entity to whom the document was disclosed;
- (d) A description of the document’s subject matter; and
- (e) The production number or other identification number of the document, if any.

6. These requests for production of documents require you to produce all responsive documents in your possession, custody, or control from all files that contain responsive documents, wherever located. Without limitation, these requests for production require you to produce

documents subject to your control even though located in the files of other persons, such as your agents, representatives, employees, and attorneys, including in other offices located outside of New York.

7. These document requests are not limited as to time, except as expressly set forth below.

8. Any electronic information should be produced in searchable format.

9. In responding to these requests:

(a) If a document was, but no longer is, in your possession, custody, or control, state:

- i. how the document was disposed of;
- ii. the name, current address, and telephone number of the natural person or the entity who currently has possession, custody, or control of the document;
- iii. the date of disposition; and
- iv. the name, current address, and telephone number for each natural person or the entity who authorized said disposition or who had knowledge of said disposition.

(b) If documents cannot be located, describe with particularity the efforts made to locate the documents and the specific reason for their disappearance or unavailability.

III. DOCUMENTS TO BE PRODUCED

This subpoena requires production of all documents from January 1, 2006 until the present, unless stated otherwise, in the possession, custody or control of You or of any of your agents found in the Southern District of New York falling under the following categories:

1. All documents and communications concerning Vale's activities in Guinea from June 1, 2005 until December 31, 2014.
2. All documents and communications concerning BSGR and/or Benjamin Steinmetz.
3. All documents and communications concerning investigations into BSGR and/or Benjamin Steinmetz, including but not limited to any investigations into BSGR's acquisition of Mining Rights in Simandou.
4. All documents and communications concerning meetings between Rio Tinto, Vale, and/or BHP at which BSGR and/or Benjamin Steinmetz were discussed, including, but not limited to, the dinner held in September of 2011 at George Soros' residence in New York.
5. All documents and communications concerning the award of exploration rights in Simandou North and/or South to BSGR.
6. All documents and communications concerning BSGR's or Benjamin Steinmetz's alleged role in the decision to cancel Rio Tinto's Concession and/or to enforce a retrocession of Simandou Blocks 1 and/or 2.
7. All documents and communications concerning the award of exploration rights in Simandou Blocks 1 and/or 2 to BSGR.
8. All documents and communications concerning the award of the Zogota Mining Concession to BSGR.
9. All documents and communications concerning Simandou.
10. All documents and communications concerning Jose Carlos Martins, Alex Monteiro, and/or Denis Thirouin.
11. All documents and communications concerning suspicions of BSGR and/or Benjamin Steinmetz's wrongdoings in Guinea.
12. All documents and communications concerning Vale's board in relation to Simandou.
13. All documents and communications concerning Vale's committees, including but not limited to the Strategic Committee, the Finance Committee, and/or the Governance and Sustainability Committee, in relation to Simandou.
14. All documents and communications concerning any third party's belief and/or knowledge that BSGR procured the Mining Rights improperly, including but not limited to Rio Tinto and/or BHP's beliefs.

15. All documents and communications concerning any third party's discussions with Vale regarding BSGR's alleged improper procurement of the Mining Rights, including but not limited to Rio Tinto and/or BHP.
16. All documents and communications concerning a potential deal between Vale and BSGR in Simandou.
17. All documents and communications concerning Vale's due diligence related to VBG.
18. All documents and communications concerning Vale's risk assessments related to VBG.
19. All documents and communications concerning Vale's approval of VBG.
20. All documents and communications concerning Vale's decision to exit VBG.
21. All documents and communications concerning Vale's potential award of the Mining Rights after the approval of VBG.
22. All documents and communications concerning the reattribution of the cancelled Mining Rights of VBG.
23. All documents and communications concerning Vale's document destruction policy or policies.
24. All documents and communications concerning the destruction of documents of Vale executives including but not limited to Roger Agnelli, Fabio Barbosa, Eduardo Ledsham, Jose Andre de Castro Alves, Keith Martins, Marco Monteiro, and/or Paul Antaki.

IV. TOPICS FOR TESTIMONY

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), you must designate and produce for examination on behalf of Vale Americas Inc. the persons who are most knowledgeable about the facts relating to the following subject matters:

1. Vale's activities in Guinea from June 1, 2005 until December 31, 2014.
2. BSGR and/or Benjamin Steinmetz.
3. Investigations into BSGR and/or Benjamin Steinmetz.
4. Meetings between Rio Tinto, Vale, and/or BHP at which BSGR and/or Benjamin Steinmetz were discussed, including the dinner held in September of 2011 at George Soros' residence in New York.

5. The award of exploration rights in Simandou North and/or South to BSGR.
6. BSGR's and/or Benjamin Steinmetz's alleged role in the decision to cancel Rio Tinto's Concession and/or enforce a retrocession of Simandou Blocks 1 and/or 2.
7. The award of exploration rights in Simandou Blocks 1 and/or 2 to BSGR.
8. The award of the Zogota Mining Concession to BSGR.
9. BSGR's acquisition of Mining Rights in Guinea.
10. Suspicions of BSGR and/or Benjamin Steinmetz's wrongdoings in Guinea.
11. Any third party's belief and/or knowledge that BSGR procured the Mining Rights improperly.
12. Any third party's discussions with Vale regarding BSGR's alleged improper procurement of the Mining Rights.
13. Potential deals between Vale and BSGR in Simandou.
14. Vale's due diligence related to VBG.
15. Vale's risk assessments related to VBG.
16. Vale's decision to enter into a joint venture with BSGR.
17. Jose Carlos Martins, Alex Monteiro, and/or Denis Thirouin.
18. Vale's approval of VBG.
19. Vale's decision to exit VBG.
20. Vale's potential award of the Mining Rights after the approval of VBG.
21. The reattribution of the cancelled Mining Rights of VBG.
22. Vale's document destruction policies.
23. The destruction of documents of Vale executives including, but not limited to, Roger Agnelli, Fabio Barbosa, Eduardo Ledsham, Jose Andre de Castro Alves, Keith Martins, Marco Monteiro, and/or Paul Antaki.